# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION		
Requestor Name and Address:	MFDR Tracking #: M4-05-3686-01	
HARRIS METHODIST FORT WORTH PO BOX 916063 FORT WORTH TX 76191	DWC Claim #:	
	Injured Employee:	
Respondent Name and Box #:  ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE CO  Rep Box # 19	Date of Injury:	
	Employer Name:	
	Insurance Carrier #:	

### PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

**Requestor's Rationale for Increased Reimbursement:** "No CPT code req 75% as F&R...Implants pd correct. Not in F.S./Mcare req 75%...Req to pay fee sch...pd total of 1784.30 req to pay f.s. no fee sch req 75%...\*please note the pd Medicare guidelines But did not pay correctly only pd Mcare + 25% should be 125%." [sic]

# **Principal Documentation:**

- 1. DWC 60 Package
- 2. Medical Bill(s)
- 3. EOB(s)
- 4. Medical Records
- 5. Total Amount Sought \$3854.60

### PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Respondent's Position Summary: "This dispute concerns reimbursement for outpatient surgery. The provider failed to adequately document the procedure. The Texas Labor Code requires reimbursement for all medical expenses to be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. TEX. LABOR CODE § 413.00(D). Further, the reimbursements may not exceed reimbursements under payment systems for similar services rendered to patients of equivalent standard of living. Id. Finally, reimbursement under the workers' compensation scheme must take into consideration the increased security of payment. Id. The provider is required to bill its usual and customary fee. However, the statute and rules anticipate that the provider will be paid its usual and customary fee only if it is equal to or less than the reimbursement formulated under the statutory criteria." "By definition, ambulatory surgery is appropriate in medical situations requiring treatment that is less intensive that inpatient surgery. It is therefore instructive to compare the reimbursement for inpatient surgery with the billings in the immediate case. The Commission has set per diem rates for an inpatient admission at \$1118 per day for surgical treatment and \$1560 per day for intensive care unit treatment. Provider has billed a multiple of these daily rates for a procedure that the documentation shows lasted less than 24 hours." "The carrier has paid \$2999.85."

## **Principal Documentation:**

1. Response Package

# PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS Date(s) of Service Denial Code(s) Disputed Service Amount in Dispute Amount Due 3/4/2004 M, N, S Outpatient Surgery \$3854.60 \$0.00 Total Due: \$0.00

## PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Use of the Fee Guidelines*, effective May 16, 2002 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on January 20, 2005. Pursuant to Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, the Division notified the requestor on February 1, 2005 to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute as set forth in the rule.

- 1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason code:
  - M-No MAR
  - N-Not documented
  - S-Supplemental payment
- 2. Division rule at 28 TAC §134.401(a)(4), effective August 1, 1997, states "Ambulatory/outpatient surgical care is not covered by this guideline and shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate until the issuance of a fee guideline addressing these specific types of reimbursements."
- 3. This dispute relates to outpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 TexReg 4047, which requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission."
- 4. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- 5. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(B), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including "a copy of any pertinent medical records." Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not provided medical records to support the services in dispute. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(B).
- 6. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C)(iv), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including a statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include "how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not state how the submitted documentation supports the requestor's position for each disputed fee issue. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C)(iv).
- 7. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
  - The requestor's rationale for increased reimbursement from the *Table of Disputed Services* states that "No CPT code req 75% as F&R...Implants pd correct. Not in F.S./Mcare req 75%...Req to pay fee sch...pd total of 1784.30 req to pay f.s. no fee sch req 75%...\*please note the pd Medicare guidelines But did not pay correctly only pd Mcare + 25% should be 125%." [sic]
  - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that 75% of billed charges or 125% of Medicare is fair and reasonable.
  - The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of 75% of billed charges or 125% of Medicare would result in a fair and reasonable reimbursement.
  - The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of the requested amount would ensure the quality of
    medical care, achieve effective medical cost control, provide for payment that is not in excess of a fee charged for
    similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living, consider the increased security of
    payment, or otherwise satisfy the requirements of Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) or Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.
  - The Division has previously found that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a hospital's billed charges, or a percentage of billed charges, does not produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was considered and rejected by the Division in the *Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline* adoption preamble which states at 22 Texas Register 6276 (July 4, 1997) that:
    - "A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs,

would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources."

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

8. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(B), §133.307(g)(3)(C), and §133.307(g)(3)(D). The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

#### PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES

Texas Labor Code § 413.011(a-d), § 413.031 and § 413.0311 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, §134.1, §134.401 Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G

### **PART VII: DIVISION DECISION**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

DECISION:		
		9/9/2010
Authorized Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

### PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 TAC §148.3(c).

Under Texas Labor Code §413.0311, your appeal will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not exceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,000, a hearing will be conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings under Texas Labor Code §413.031.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.